

# Invariant Bilinear form

## Casimir element

IF  $V$  IS A  $\mathfrak{g}$ -MODULE A SYMMETRIC BILINEAR FORM  
(1) :  $V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  IS INVARIANT IF

$$([x, y] | z) = (x | [y, z])$$

$$([x, y] | z) = - (y | [x, z])$$

IF  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$  AND

$$(gx | gy) = (x | y) \text{ THEN}$$

IT IS INVARIANT FOR THE INDUCED  $\mathfrak{g}$  REP'N

$$0 = \frac{d}{dt} (e^{tx} v | e^{ty} w) = (x \cdot v | w) + (v | x \cdot w).$$

EXAMPLE: IF  $\mathfrak{g}$  IS A F.D. SIMPLE LIE ALGEBRA

THE KILLING FORM IS AN INVARIANT BILINEAR

FORM ON  $\mathfrak{g}$ .  $(x | y) = \text{tr}(\text{ad } x \text{ ad } y)$ .

(SIMPLE  $\Rightarrow$  NONDEGENERATE.)

THE CASIMIR OPERATOR.

THE CASE OF A FINITE-DIMENSIONAL SIMPLE  
LIE ALGEBRA.

IN THIS CASE THE CASIMIR  $\Omega \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ .  
LET  $X_i$  BE A BASIS OF  $\mathfrak{g}$   
 $X^i$  DUAL BASIS.

ASSUMING (1) IS A  $\text{ad}$ -INVARIANT  
SYMMETRIC BILINEAR FORM ON  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

$$\Omega = \sum X_i X^i.$$

**THEOREM:**  $\Omega$  IS INDEPENDENT OF BASIS  
AND  $\Omega$  COMMUTES WITH  $\mathfrak{g}$

(IN THIS CASE THAT IS THE SAME AS SAYING  
 $\Omega \in Z(U(\mathfrak{g}))$ ).

ONLY VERIFICATION INDEPENDENT OF BASIS.

$$[Z, X_i] = \sum_j C_{ij} X_j$$

$$[Z, X^i] = \sum_j d_{ij} X^j$$

$$([z, x_i] | x^j) = c_{ij}$$

$$= (x_i | [z, x^j]) = -d_{ji}$$

$$\underbrace{\sum d_{jh} x^h}$$

$$\text{so } c_{ij} = -d_{ji}$$

$$[z, x_i] = \sum_j c_{ij} x_j$$

$$[z, x^i] = -\sum_j c_{ji} x^j$$

$$[z, \Omega] = \sum_i [z, x_i] x^i + x_i [z, x^i]$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} x_j x^i + \sum_i x_i (-c_{ji}) x^j = 0.$$

THIS MEANS IF  $V$  IS IRREDUCIBLE,  $\Omega$   
ACTS BY A SCALAR.

ALSO TRUE FOR MORE GENERAL REP'S E.G.  
HIGHEST WEIGHT MODULES.

IF  $\mu$  IS A PRIMITIVE WEIGHT IN  $M(\lambda)$   
 $\Omega$  ACTS BY SAME SCALAR ON  $M(\mu), M(\lambda)$ .  
 THIS CAN HELP FIND PRIMITIVE VECTORS  
 IF WE KNOW HOW THE SCALAR DEPENDS  
 ON  $\lambda$ .

$$0 \rightarrow M(\mu) \rightarrow M(\lambda) \rightarrow L(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$$

AL CASE.

**LEMMA:** IF  $(\cdot)$  IS INVARIANT  
 BILINEAR FORM ON  $\mathfrak{g}$  AND

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta \cup \{0\}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$$

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h}$$

IF  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$  AND

$Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{\beta}$ , THEN  $(X)Y) = 0$ .

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} = \mathfrak{k}_{\alpha}$$

IF  $\alpha \in \Delta$ .

UNLESS  $\alpha = -\beta$ .

IF  $\alpha \neq -\beta$  FIND  $H \in \mathfrak{g}$  WITH  
 $\alpha(H) \neq -\beta(H)$

$$([\alpha, x] | y) = - (x | [\alpha, y])$$

$$\alpha(H) (x | y) = -\beta(H) (x | y)$$

**THEOREM:** IF  $V$  IS A HW  
 MODULE WITH WEIGHT  $\lambda$ ,  $\Omega$   
 ACTS AS A SCALAR ON  $V$  WITH  
 VALUE

$$(\lambda | \lambda + 2\rho).$$

FIND BASIS  $H_i$  OF  $\mathfrak{g}$

$X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{X}_\alpha$  DUAL BASIS.

$\alpha \in \Delta$  ( $\alpha \neq 0$ )  $H_i \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $X^\alpha \in \mathfrak{X}_{-\alpha}$

SINCE  $\alpha \neq 0$ ,  $\alpha \neq -\alpha$  SO WE MAY

CHOOSE  $X^\alpha = X_\alpha$  THEN

$$(X_\alpha | X_\beta) = \delta_{\alpha, -\beta} \quad (H_i | H_j) = \delta_{ij}.$$

THEOREM: IF  $V$  IS A HIGHEST WEIGHT  
MODULE WITH HIGHEST WEIGHT  $\lambda$

AND SCALAR EIGENVALUE OF  $\Omega$  IS  $(\lambda | \lambda + 2\rho)$ .

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha$$

WE'LL SHOW  $\Omega v_\lambda = (\lambda | \lambda + 2\rho) v_\lambda$  FOR H.W.  $v_\lambda$ .

SINCE IT COMMUTES WITH  $\sigma_j$ ,

LET  $H_i$  BASIS OF  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $H_i$  DUAL BASIS OF  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ .

$$\Omega = \sum_i H_i \dot{H}_i + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \underbrace{A_{-\alpha} X_{\alpha}}_{\dots\dots\dots} + \underline{\underline{X_{\alpha} X_{-\alpha}}}$$

NOTICE  $[X_{\alpha}, X_{-\alpha}] := H_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

I WANT TO WRITE

$$\Omega = \sum_i H_i \dot{H}_i + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} H_{\alpha} + 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} X_{-\alpha} X_{\alpha}$$

THIS IS THE EXPRESSION THAT WILL  
GENERALIZE TO RM CASE.

NOTICE IF  $\Omega v_{\lambda}$  THE LAST TERM  
PRODUCES ZERO BECAUSE  $\alpha \in \Delta^+$

$X_{\alpha} v_{\lambda} = 0$  SINCE  $X_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{n}_+$ ,

WE HAVE TO PROVE  $(\sum H_{\alpha}) v_{\lambda}$   
 $= (\lambda | 2\rho ) v_{\lambda}$

$$\text{AND } \sum H_n H_n^* v_n = (x | \lambda) v_x.$$

THERE IS AN ISOMORPHISM

$$v: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^* \quad \langle \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}^* \rangle$$

$$\langle x, v(y) \rangle = (x | y)_{\mathcal{H} \mathcal{H}}$$

$$(y | y)_{\mathcal{H}} \quad (y^* | y^*)_{\mathcal{H}^*} \quad (1) \quad \text{INNER PRODUCT}$$

$$(v(\lambda) | v(\mu)) = (\lambda | \mu)$$

$v$  IS ISO AND  $(1)$  IS NORM

**LEMMA**;  $v(H_\alpha) = \alpha$

NEED TO KNOW  $H_\alpha = [X_\alpha, X_{-\alpha}]$

$$\text{AND } (X_\alpha | X_{-\alpha}) = 1 \text{ SO}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
= \langle H, \gamma(H_-) \rangle &= (H | H_\alpha) \\
&\quad \text{by } \gamma^\dagger \quad \quad \quad \parallel \\
&\quad \quad \quad (H | [X_\alpha, X_{-\alpha}]) \\
&= ([H, X_\alpha] | X_{-\alpha}) \\
&= \alpha(H) (X_\alpha | X_{-\alpha}) \\
&= \alpha(H) \\
&= \langle H, \alpha \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

SINCE THIS IS TRUE FOR ALL  $H$ ,  
 $\gamma(H_-) = \alpha$ .

$$\Omega = \sum H_i H_i^\dagger + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} H_\alpha + 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} X_{-\alpha} X_\alpha$$

-----

$$= \sum H_{\dot{\alpha}} H^{\dot{\alpha}} + \gamma \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \varphi \right) + 2 \sum x_{-\alpha} x_{\alpha}$$

$\uparrow$                        $\uparrow$                        $\uparrow$   
 STILL                       $\gamma(2\rho)$                       THIS  
 MISINTERPRETS.                       $v_{\lambda}$

**LEMMA:** IF  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$

$$\sum \chi(H_{\dot{\alpha}} | \mu(H^{\dot{\alpha}})) = (\lambda | \mu).$$

PROOF:

$$H = \sum (H | H^{\dot{\alpha}}) H_{\dot{\alpha}}$$

$$(H | H') = \sum (H | H^{\dot{\alpha}}) (H' | H_{\dot{\alpha}}) \quad \checkmark$$

$$(\lambda | \mu) = (\gamma^{\dagger}(\lambda) | \gamma^{\dagger}(\mu))$$

$$= \sum (\gamma^{\dagger}(\lambda) | H_{\dot{\alpha}}) (\gamma^{\dagger}(\mu) | H^{\dot{\alpha}})$$

$$(\lambda | \mu) = \sum \lambda(H_i) \mu(H_i^*) .$$

$$= \left( \sum H_i H_i^* + \gamma \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha \right) + z \sum \alpha_{-\alpha} \alpha_{\alpha} \right) v_{\lambda}$$

$$H v_{\lambda} = \lambda(H) v_{\lambda}$$

$$\sum \lambda(H_i) \lambda(H_i^*) v_{\lambda}$$

$$+ \gamma(z\rho) v_{\lambda} \quad + \quad z \in \mathbb{R}_0$$

$$\left( (\lambda | \lambda) + (\lambda | z\rho) \right) v_{\lambda}$$

As ADVERTISED.

START ON KM CASE.

DEFINE THE INNER PRODUCT ON  $\mathcal{g}$ :

(ASSUME C.M. IS SYMMETRIC

NEED SYMMETRIZABLE  $A = D \cdot B$ .)

$$\begin{matrix} \uparrow \\ \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \varepsilon_r \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

**THEOREM**, THERE IS AN INVARIANT  
I.P. ON  $\mathcal{g}$ .

$$(\alpha_i^\vee | \alpha_j^\vee) = \delta_{ij}$$

$$(\alpha_i | \alpha_j) = \delta_{ij}$$

( $\alpha_i^\vee$  DON'T SPAN  $\mathcal{g}$ .)

ASSUMING THIS WE CAN DEFINE  
 CASIMIR ELEM ON ANY MODULE IN  
 CATEGORY  $\mathcal{O}$ . LET  $H_i, H^i$  BE  
 DUAL BASES OF  $\mathfrak{g}$ .  $X_{\alpha}^{\dot{j}}$  BE  
 BASES OF  $\mathfrak{E}_{\alpha} \hookrightarrow 1$ -DIM FOR  
 REAL ROOTS  
 BUT NOT 1-DIM  
 IN CENTER

$$\Omega = \sum H_i H^i + 2 \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta^+ \\ \dot{j}}} X_{-\alpha}^{\dot{j}} X_{\alpha}^{\dot{j}} + 2V(p)$$

$$\begin{array}{cc} \mathfrak{E}_{\alpha} & \mathfrak{E}_{-\alpha} \\ X_{\alpha}^{\dot{j}} & X_{-\alpha}^{\dot{j}} \end{array}$$