

# Invariant Bilinear form

## Casimir element

IF  $V$  IS A  $g$ -MODULE A SYMMETRIC BILINEAR FORM

(1):  $V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  IS **INARIANT** IF

$$([x, y] | z) = (x | [y, z])$$

$$([x, y] | z) = - (y | [x, z])$$

IF  $g = \text{Lie}(G)$  AND

$$(gx | gy) = (x | y) \text{ THEN}$$

IT IS INARIANT FOR THE INDUCED  $g$  REP'

$$0 = \frac{d}{dt} (e^{tx} v | e^{ty} w) = (x \cdot v | w) + (v | x \cdot w).$$

EXAMPLE: IF  $g$  IS A F.D. SIMPLE LIE ALGEBRA  
THE KILLING FORM IS AN INARIANT BILINEAR  
FORM ON  $g$ .  $(x | y) = \text{tr}(\text{ad}x \text{ad}y)$ .  
(SIMPLE  $\Rightarrow$  NON DEGENERATE.)

## THE CASIMIR OPERATOR.

THE CASE OF A FINITE-DIMENSIONAL SIMPLE LIE ALGEBRA.

IN THIS CASE THE CASIMIR  $\Omega \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ .

LET  $x_i$  BE A BASIS OF  $\mathfrak{g}$

$x^i$  DUAL BASIS.

ASSUMING (1) IS A  $\text{ad}$ -INVARIANT SYMMETRIC BILINEAR FORM ON  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

$$\Omega = \sum x_i x^i.$$

**THEOREM:**  $\Omega$  IS INDEPENDENT OF BASIS AND  $\Omega$  COMMUTES WITH  $\mathfrak{g}$

(IN THIS CASE THAT IS THE SAME AS SAYING

$$\Omega \in Z(U(\mathfrak{g}))$$

OMIT VERIFICATION INDEPENDENT OF BASIS.

$$[z, x_i] = \sum_j c_{ij} x_j$$

$$[z, x^i] = \sum_j d_{ij} x^j$$

$$([z, x_i] | x^i) = c_{ij}$$

$$- (x_i | [z, x^i]) = -d_{ji}$$

$$\sum c_{ij} x^i$$

$$\text{so } c_{ij} = -d_{ji}$$

$$[z, x_i] = \sum_j c_{ij} x_j$$

$$[z, x^i] = - \sum_j c_{ji} x^i$$

$$[z, \Omega] = \sum_i [z, x_i] x^i + x_i [z, x^i]$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} x_j x^i + \sum_i x_i (-c_{ji}) x^i = 0.$$

THIS MEANS IF  $V$  IS IRREDUCIBLE,  $\Omega$  ACTS BY A SCALAR.

ALSO TRUE FOR MORE GENERAL REP'S E.G.  
HIGHEST WEIGHT MODULES.

IF  $\mu$  IS A PRIMITIVE WEIGHT IN  $M(\lambda)$   
 $\lambda$  ACTS BY SAME SCALAR ON  $M(\mu)$ ,  $M(\lambda)$ .  
 THIS CAN HELP FIND PRIMITIVE VECTORS  
 IF WE KNOW HOW THE SCALAR DEPENDS  
 ON  $\lambda$ .

$0 \rightarrow M(\mu) \rightarrow M(\lambda) \rightarrow L(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$   
 2nd CASE.

LEMMA: IF (1) IS INVARIANT  
 BILINEAR FORM ON  $\mathfrak{g}$  AND

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta \cup \{0\}} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \quad \mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}$$

IF  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  AND  $y \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta$ , THEN  $(x, y) = 0$  . . . IF  $\alpha \in \Delta$ .  
 UNLESS  $\alpha = -\beta$ .

IF  $\alpha \neq -\beta$  FIND  $H \in \mathcal{Y}$  WITH  
 $\alpha(H) \neq -\beta(H)$

$$([H, x] | y) = - (x | [H, y])$$

$$\overset{||}{\alpha}(H)(x | y) \quad \overset{||}{-\beta}(H)(x | y)$$

**THEOREM:** IF  $V$  IS A HW  
MODULE WITH WEIGHT  $\lambda$ ,  $\Omega$   
ACTS AS A SCALAR ON  $V$  WITH  
VALUE

$$(\lambda | \lambda + \Omega).$$

FIND BASIS  $H_i$  OF  $\mathfrak{g}$

$x_\alpha \in \mathcal{X}_\alpha$  DUAL BASIS.

$\alpha \in \Delta \ (\alpha \neq 0)$   $H^\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ ,  $x^\alpha \in \mathcal{X}_{-\alpha}$

SINCE  $\alpha \neq 0$ ,  $\alpha \neq -\alpha$  SO WE MAY

CHOOSE  $x^\alpha = x_\alpha$  THEN

$$(x_\alpha | x_\beta) = \delta_{\alpha, -\beta} \quad (H_i | H_j) = \delta_{ij}.$$

THEOREM: IF  $V$  IS A HIGHEST WEIGHT

MODULE WITH HIGHEST WEIGHT  $\lambda$

AND SCALAR EIGENVALUE OF  $\Omega$  IS  $(\lambda | \lambda + 2\rho)$ .

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha$$

WE'LL SHOW  $\Omega v_\lambda = (\lambda | \lambda + 2\rho) v_\lambda$  FOR HW  $v_\lambda$ .

$\text{SINK}$  IS COMMUTES WITH  $\Omega$ .

LET  $H_i$  BASIS OF  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $H^\alpha$  DUAL BASIS OF  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ .

$$\Omega = \sum_i H_i H^i + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} x_{-\alpha} X_\alpha + \underline{x_\alpha X_{-\alpha}}$$

NOTICE  $[x_\alpha, X_{-\alpha}] := H_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

I WANT TO WRITE

$$\Omega = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} H_\alpha H^{\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} H_\alpha + 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} x_{-\alpha} X_\alpha$$

THIS IS THE EXPRESSION THAT WILL  
GENERALIZE TO KM CASE.

NOTICE IF  $\Omega v_\lambda$  THE LAST TERM

PRODUCES ZERO BECAUSE  $\alpha \in \Delta^+$

$$x_\alpha v_\lambda = 0 \text{ SINCE } X_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_+,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{WE HAVE TO PROVE } & \left( \sum H_\alpha \right) v_\lambda \\ &= (\lambda \text{ if } \alpha \in \Delta^+) v_\lambda \end{aligned}$$

AND  $\sum h_i | h^* v_\lambda = (x | \lambda) v_x$ .

THERE IS AN ISOMORPHISM

$$\gamma: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^* \quad \langle \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}^* \rangle$$

$$(x, \gamma(y)) = (x | y) \cdot$$

$\mathcal{G} \quad \mathcal{G}^*$

$$(y | y) \quad (\mathcal{G}^* | \mathcal{G}^*) \quad (1)$$

INNER  
PRODUCT

$$(\gamma(\lambda) | \gamma(\mu)) = (\lambda | \mu)$$

$\gamma$  IS 150 AND  $\{|\}$  IS NORMA

LEMMA:  $\gamma(h_\alpha) = \alpha$

NEED TO KNOW  $h_\alpha = [x_\alpha, x_{-\alpha}]$

AND  $(x_\alpha | x_{-\alpha}) = 1$  SO

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \langle H, \nu(H_\alpha) \rangle = (H \setminus H_\alpha) \\
 &\quad \text{by } \text{def} \quad \text{''} \\
 &\quad (H \setminus [x_\alpha, x_{-\alpha}]) \\
 &= ([H, x_\alpha] \setminus x_{-\alpha}) \quad \dots \\
 &= \alpha(H) (x_\alpha) x_{-\alpha} \\
 &= \alpha(H) \\
 &= \langle H, \alpha \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

SINCE THIS IS TRUE FOR ALL  $H$ ,

$$\gamma(H_\varepsilon) = \alpha.$$

$$h = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} H_\alpha H^\vee + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} H_\alpha + 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} x_{-\alpha} x_\alpha$$

$$= \sum H_{\alpha} H^{\alpha} + \gamma \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha \right) + 2 \sum x_{-\alpha} x_{\alpha}$$

$\uparrow$   $\uparrow$   $\uparrow$   
 still  
myselfous.  $\gamma(2\rho)$  thus  $\gamma$ .

LEMMA: IF  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^*$

$$\sum \lambda(H_{\alpha}) \mu(H^{\alpha}) = (\lambda | \mu).$$

PROOF:

$$H = \sum (H | H^{\alpha}) H_{\alpha}$$

$$(H | H') = \sum (H | H^{\alpha}) (H' | H_{\alpha}) \quad \checkmark$$

$$(\lambda | \mu) = (\gamma^*(\lambda) | \gamma^*(\mu))$$

$$= \sum (\gamma^*(\lambda) | H_{\alpha}) (\gamma^*(\mu) | H^{\alpha})$$

$$(\lambda | \mu) = \sum \lambda(H_i) \mu(H^i) .$$

$$= \left( \sum H_i H^i + \gamma \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha \right) + 2 \sum x_{-\alpha} x_\alpha \right) v_\lambda$$

$$H v_\lambda = \lambda(H) v_\lambda$$

$$\sum \lambda(H_i) \lambda(H^i) v_\lambda$$

$$+ \gamma(2\rho) v_\lambda + \text{zero}$$

$$\left\{ (\lambda | \lambda) + (\lambda | 2\rho) \right\} v_\lambda$$

As ADVERTISED.

START ON KM CASE.

DEFINE THE INNER PRODUCT ON  $\mathfrak{g}$ :

(ASSUME C.M. IS SYMMETRIC

NEED SYMMETRIZABLE  $A = D \cdot B$ .)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 & \dots & \varepsilon_r \end{pmatrix}^T$$

**THEOREM:** THERE IS AN INVARIANT

I.P. ON  $\mathfrak{g}_+$  OR  $\mathfrak{g}_-$ :

$$(\alpha_i^\vee | \alpha_j^\vee) = \delta_{ij}$$

$$(\alpha_i^\vee | \alpha_j) = \delta_{ij}$$

( $\alpha_i^\vee$  DON'T SPAN  $\mathfrak{g}_+$ )

ASSUMING THIS WE CAN DEFINE  
 CASIMIR ELEMENT ON ANY MODULE IN  
 CATEGORY  $\mathcal{O}$ . LET  $H_i, H^i$  BE  
 DUAL BASES OF  $\mathfrak{g}$ .  $X_\alpha^i$  BE  
 BASES OF  $\mathfrak{X}_\alpha$   $\sim$  1-DIM FOR  
 REAL ROOTS  
 BUT NOT 1-DIM  
 IN CENTER

$$\Omega = \sum H_i H^i + 2 \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta^+} X_\alpha^i X_\alpha^j + 2V(p)$$

$$\begin{array}{cc} x_\alpha & x_{-\alpha} \\ x_\alpha^i & x_{-\alpha}^j \end{array}$$